

**SPRINGETTSBURY TOWNSHIP  
REGULAR MEETING**

**MARCH 27, 2014  
APPROVED**

The Board of Supervisors of Springettsbury Township held a Regular Meeting on Thursday, March 27, 2014 at 7:00 p.m. at the Township Offices located at 1501 Mt. Zion Road, York, PA.

**MEMBERS IN**

**ATTENDANCE:** George Dvoryak, Chairman  
Julie Landis, Vice Chairman  
Kathleen Phan  
Bill Schenck  
Mark Swomley

**ALSO IN**

**ATTENDANCE:** John Holman, Township Manager  
Charles Rausch, Solicitor  
John Luciani, Civil Engineer  
Dennis Crabill, Environmental Engineer  
Patricia Lang, Director of Community Development  
Dori Bowders, Manager of Administrative Operations  
Betty Speicher, Director of Human Resources  
Lt. Todd King, Police Department  
Jean Abrecht, Stenographer

**1. CALL TO ORDER**

A. Opening Ceremony

**DVORYAK** Chairman Dvoryak called the meeting to order at 7:05 p.m. He apologized for the five-minute delay in the start of the meeting as an earlier meeting ran longer. He led the Pledge of Allegiance.

**2. ANNOUNCEMENT OF EXECUTIVE SESSIONS**

A. March 13, 2014 – 9:45 p.m. – Collective Bargaining

**DVORYAK** Chairman Dvoryak announced that an Executive Session had been held following the March 13, 2014 Board of Supervisors meeting to discuss Collective Bargaining. He stated that no Executive Sessions had been scheduled for this date.

**3. COMMUNICATION FROM CITIZENS**

**Historic Preservation Committee**

**HELLER** Jane Heller, Idylwyld Drive, spoke with regard to at-risk properties ranging from year 1755 to the 1800's, specifically the Livingston house, a house two doors up from the fire station and the Ettlne property. She mentioned some options with respect to protection of historic properties. She noted historic overlays, which would protect such properties and delay or prevent demolition. Other potential pieces would be tax adjustments, easements, ordinances to make historic

properties more attractive for repurposing. She asked whether the board was interested in supporting it.

**DVORYAK** Chairman Dvoryak responded that the board had begun a process last fall with public meetings and the forum to gage the interest of the community in historic preservation. That was followed by a very thorough report presented by Patricia Lang. In addition, the board had acted to expand the membership of the Historic Preservation Committee to nine. He, personally, had not discussed their ideas with them to determine their next steps; however, he committed to having a discussion with Dr. Luther Sowers.

**HELLER** Ms. Heller noted that the additions to the Historic Preservation Committee brought some energy, and they're moving forward; however, she did not think those on the Committee had the knowledge or expertise to put legal ordinances together.

**LANDIS** Ms. Landis asked what kind of feedback or data had been received since the study was done.

**LANG** Ms. Lang reported that she had attended the HPC meeting where the new members were present. She spoke with them about making regular reports to the Board of Supervisors during the first meeting of every month for an update on their progress. Ms. Lang noted that the latest emphasis on historic preservation had not been on the HPC's radar. They had been identifying and documenting resources; however, they had not felt it was their job to write an ordinance. They needed further direction from the board. Ms. Lang noted that their membership in the National Alliance of Preservation Commission had been received, which will provide a huge resource as to how to get properties on the register, how to write a local ordinance, how to work from regulations, with options toward protection of the resources. She added that most of the objections to any kind of ordinance come from owners of commercially zoned properties toward having any restrictions over their property and that a better option would be to review regulations that would allow wider variety of uses, adaptive reuse of historic structures with some leniency to the regulations so that there is a benefit to owning their properties.

**HELLER** Ms. Heller noted that it is a learning process for the HPC with a new way of understanding things that can make historic properties more appealing.

**LANG** Ms. Lang inserted the fact that Springettsbury Township does have a Delay of Demolition of 30 days in place currently. As previously stated during the presentation last fall, they would attempt to be proactive and develop a strategy on how to approach the most threatened properties, and one option is working with the real estate people in providing other ideas, options for how the property might be developed, including the story behind the property, and regulations that allow

them to market it for uses that right now might not be able to be used because the ordinance is too strict/tight and wouldn't be permitted on that property.

**LANDIS** Ms. Landis asked what feedback had been received.

**LANG** Ms. Lang responded that there had been some good feedback from a couple of realtors, individuals who are interested in historic preservation. It is important to find the right people who can bring the right buyer to the market.

**LANDIS** Ms. Landis asked if there is anything the Community Development office can do other than work with the Historic Committee.

**LANG** Ms. Lang responded that if the board were to direct her, she could write an ordinance immediately. However, it would be more useful to have the backing of the HPC that is tasked with protecting the resources in the township. She noted that the board has the option to have the HPC consider several different options and/or give them direction. She noted her suggestions to them: 1) provide good documentation of the township resources; 2) think about a strategy for the most threatened resources in the township and 3) propose something for the board's adoption that would provide a higher level of protection than what is in place.

**Springettsbury Ambulance Club**

**MYERS** Ken Myers, President of the Springettsbury Ambulance Club, addressed the board with regard to the Club's involvement in the Emergency Medical Services in the township. He reported that the Club was formed in 1956 by volunteers and was fully volunteer staffed until 1979 when the first career people began. The Club owns the two vehicles that the township leases to provide Emergency Medical Services. Currently the Club has enough funding to purchase one additional ambulance.

A lengthy discussion took place, which is summarized:

- One ambulance is in service at all times; the second ambulance is a back up for other services.
- Springettsbury Ambulance Club is a BLS service; ALS is provided by Memorial Hospital.
- Township covers vehicle maintenance, supplies and equipment purchases, EMT salaries, etc.
- No income of any significance had been received since April 1, 2000 when the township took over. All service fees go to the township.
- Consideration to outsource is an issue with the EMS.
- Ambulance Club's position is preference for the EMS to continue existing in Springettsbury.
- Township's commitment to continue rather than outsource is needed for Club's purchase of new ambulance.
- Relief Association funds to directly to the fire company; grants have not been pursued.

- Use of funds in hand could provide for scholarships, EMS/Paramedic training, CPR, First Aid.
- No regular meetings of the Ambulance Club are held; only three board members in place.
- Questions on how to get involved can be directed to Ken Myers at 755-8769.

**Collective Bargaining**

**CRUMLING** Larry Crumling, 108 Lorenzo Court, commented on the Collective Bargaining Agreement with the Teamsters. He had previously suggested that \$50,000 had been wasted on attorneys with nothing accomplished. He asked whether anything discussed in the March 13<sup>th</sup> Executive Session could be provided to the taxpayers as to what progress had been made with the Teamsters, Wastewater workers, Public Works and EMS.

**DVORYAK** Chairman Dvoryak confirmed that Collective Bargaining had been discussed during the Executive Session; however, he could not provide that discussion.

**CRUMLING** Mr. Crumling understood; however, he thought the taxpayers should be advised of any progress being made after nearly two years of negotiations.

**DVORYAK** Chairman Dvoryak responded that there had been monies spent on legal fees for Collective Bargaining sessions. He noted that it is common practice during Collective Bargaining sessions when parties bring their legal counsel, it is only fair that the township also be represented by legal counsel at those sessions.

**RAUSCH** Solicitor Rausch noted that the attorney does not make the decisions.

**CRUMLING** Mr. Crumling just wanted to ask if there was any information that taxpayers ought to hear.

**DVORYAK** Chairman Dvoryak stated that the township continues to negotiate with that group and has not reached an agreement as of today.

**4. ENGINEERING REPORTS**

A. Environmental Engineer – Buchart Horn, Inc.

**CRABILL** Dennis Crabill stated that he had provided his monthly report and had no changes. He offered to respond to any questions that the board might have. There were no questions.

B. Civil Engineer – First Capital Engineering, Inc.

**LUCIANI** Mr. Luciani stated he, too, had provided his monthly report. However, he had two updates:

- Box Culvert - Shop drawings had been received from Monarch Precast and are acceptable.
- Schedule will be provided for completion of the box culvert installation as well as the road. He expected to have that for the board within the next two weeks.
- Exit 19/Market Street Improvements/Signal Lights – PennDOT will add battery backup, video cameras, emergency pre-emption and LED lights to that signal consistent with township ordinance.

**HOLMAN** Mr. Holman noted that, in addition, a left-turn arrow will be added going southbound on North Hills Road. Traffic turning eastbound onto Market Street will now turn on the green left arrow making that a much safer intersection.

**LANDIS** Ms. Landis questioned his note regarding Townplace Suites with respect to the bridge.

**LUCIANI** Mr. Luciani responded that the township holds \$70,000 of the developer's bond money, and he wants a refund. However, he had not put the bridge in, and the money will not be returned to him until he either puts the bridge in or revisits the plan back to the Planning Commission. The developer must go back through the process. The board will determine whether to release the developer from the obligation.

**LANG** Ms. Lang added that First Capital performed an inspection of the site on March 12<sup>th</sup>, and there are other items missing as well.

**LANDIS** Ms. Landis questioned how an Occupancy Permit could be secured without a final inspection.

**LUCIANI** Mr. Luciani responded that a Temporary Occupancy Permit has been provided.

**LANDIS** Ms. Landis asked what the timeframe would be for a report on the Shawan Lane issue.

**LUCIANI** Mr. Luciani responded that they met with their engineer and are waiting for some additional data to be provided. He expected that to occur within the next two weeks.

**PHAN** Ms. Phan reminded Mr. Luciani of the line painting project.

**LUCIANI** Mr. Luciani responded that he has a weekly call to PennDOT with those concerns.

**DVORYAK** Chairman Dvoryak asked whether that was a separate PennDOT group than those who patch the potholes.

**LUCIANI** Mr. Luciani responded that he thought it was.

**5. CONSENT AGENDA**

- A. Acknowledge Receipt of February 28, 2014 Treasurer's Report
- B. Acknowledge Receipt of February 18, 2014 York Area United Fire and Rescue Commission Meeting Minutes
- C. Board of Supervisors Conditional Use Hearing Minutes – January 23, 2014
- D. Board of Supervisors Conditional Use Hearing Minutes – February 27, 2014
- E. Board of Supervisors Regular Meeting Minutes – March 13, 2014
- F. Lobar, Inc. – Springettsbury Fire Building Project – Application for Payment No. 7 in an amount not to exceed \$103,219.26
- G. Shannon A. Smith, Inc. – Springettsbury Fire Building Project – Application for Payment No. 6 in an amount not to exceed \$77,544
- H. Garden Spot Mechanical – Springettsbury Fire Building Project – Payment No. 3 in an amount not to exceed \$188,775
- I. Silvertip, Inc. – Springettsbury Fire Building Project – Application for Payment No. 7 in an amount not to exceed \$63,350.75
- J. Abel Recon – Haines Road Lining/Manhole Rehabilitation – Application for Payment No. 2 in an amount not to exceed \$79,242.37
- K. Regular Payables as Detailed in the Payable Listing of March 27, 2014.
- L. LD-14-01 – Time Extension – Red Robin – Plan Expires 04/23/2014 (New Plan Date 07/22/2014)
- M. SD-14-01 – Time Extension – Ferguson/Mt. Zion Church SD – Plan Expires 04/23/14 (New Plan Date 07/22/2014)

**MS. LANDIS MOVED TO APPROVE CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS A THROUGH M. MOTION UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.**

**6. BIDS, PROPOSALS, CONTRACTS, AND AGREEMENTS**

- A. Authorization to Award 2014 Line Painting Contract to D. E. Gemmill, Inc. in an amount not to exceed \$15,030.

**MS. PHAN MOVED FOR AUTHORIZATION TO AWARD 2014 LINE PAINTING CONTRACT TO D. E. GEMMILL, INC. IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$15,030. MOTION UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.**

**7. SUBDIVISIONS AND LAND DEVELOPMENT**

- A. SD-14-01 – Ferguson/Mt. Zion Church SD – 4/23/14 (Action)

**LANG** Ms. Lang presented the background information regarding the plan, which was documented in the Plan Summary dated 3/27/14. The plan proposed to subdivide a 1.00 acre parcel from property of the Mt. Zion Evangelical Church and David and Lisa Ferguson. The Ferguson's tracts are identified as a 7 plus acre parcel at 1248 Ridgewood Road, a 1.89 plus acre parcel at 1376 Ridgewood Road and a

1.35 plus acre parcel with no dwelling. Following conveyance of the 1.00 acre church property, this acreage will be reconfigured to create a .774 acre lot with existing dwelling at 1248 Ridgewood Road and a 10.515 acre lot with existing dwelling at 1376 Ridgewood Road. The church owns two adjoining parcels and will retain a total of 12.00 acres. Ms. Lang provided a PowerPoint overview of the subdivision proposed.

**SCHENCK** Mr. Schenck commented that no new building lot is created, and the bulk of the property is under a Met Ed right-of-way. He was curious as to why the Fergusons would go through this process.

**LUCIANI** Mr. Luciani responded that Mr. Ferguson is attempting to get to 10 acres in order to go Clean and Green and reduce his taxes.

**SCHENCK** Mr. Schenck responded that was a good reason and made perfect sense.

**MR. SWOMLEY MOVED WITH REFERENCE TO MINOR SUBDIVISION PLAN SD-14-01 FERGUSON/MT. ZION EVANGELICAL CHURCH, TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE WAIVER OF MINOR SUBDIVISION AND THE NINE CONDITIONS LISTED ON THE PLAN SUMMARY. MOTION UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.**

**B.** LD-13-04 – Maple Donuts Cold Storage Addition – 6/18/14 (Action)

**LANG** Ms. Lang presented background information for the Land Development Plan for the proposal involving a 7,200 square foot freezer storage facility. The Plan Summary dated March 27, 2014 provided documentation. The freezer storage building is to be used as an accessory to the existing donut manufacturing and retail facility and is intended to eliminate the current practice of storing product in refrigerated trucks on the site. She provided plan information in a PowerPoint presentation and identified multiple zoning districts which are involved: Commercial Highway, General Industrial, R1 and Neighborhood Commercial. Planning Commission had much discussion concerning the truck access into and out of the property. There were a number of waivers and small list of conditions all of which were considered by the Planning Commission. Most have been addressed or will be within the coming week.

**LANDIS** Ms. Landis noted that the Planning Commission had addressed the issues with respect to the trucks getting in and out. She asked whether the trucks in question were tractor-trailer trucks.

**BURNSIDE** Charlie Burnside, President of Maple Donuts, responded that the tractor-trailers will not exit out onto Market Street. They own the property behind the facility and all trucks go in and out from Industrial Highway.

**LANDIS** Ms. Landis stated that he had answered her question, which obviously was for the safety of patrons. She added that she was very happy that he had decided to stay in Springettsbury.

**MS. LANDIS MOVED TO APPROVE LAND DEVELOPMENT 13-04, MAPLE DONUTS COLD STORAGE ADDITION TO INCLUDE THE 10 LISTED WAIVERS AND THE FIVE LISTED CONDITIONS AS DESCRIBED IN THE PLAN SUMMARY. MOTION UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.**

**8. COMMUNICATION FROM SUPERVISORS**

**SCHENCK** Mr. Schenck noted that Mr. Holman provided an item in his Supplemental Report, a Time Extension, which needed action.

1. Authorization to Approve Time Extension of CU-13-03, First Capital Credit Union, from April 14, 2014 to May 14, 2014.

**MR. SCHENCK MOVED FOR APPROVAL OF TIME EXTENSION FOR CU-13-03, FIRST CAPITAL CREDIT UNION FROM APRIL 14, 2014 TO MAY 14, 2014. MOTION UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.**

**PHAN** Ms. Phan brought forward the item discussed last meeting concerning the Fineview Drive property and the water issues. She commented on not setting precedence but noted it was important to find other areas in the township in order to properly budget for improvements.

**HOLMAN** Mr. Holman responded that it had been his understanding that the board wanted a listing based on safety of the roads from the worst to the best and why. Mark Hodgkinson is preparing a report and will present that to the board, and it will be included as part of the Capital Projects and Liquid Fuels Budget.

**9. SOLICITOR'S REPORT**

**RAUSCH** Solicitor Rausch reported that he had received documentation with regard to the sale of the fire station on East Market Street by the volunteer fire company. As part of that sale the fire company needs to file a petition with the court to approve the sale since it's a non-profit and identify that the funds will continue to be used for charitable purpose. In this case all of the funds are going to be used towards the new fire station. The township has a Joinder as part of that petition because there is a reverter clause that if the station no longer is used as a fire station, it would revert back to the township. In the agreement with the fire company, the township will agree to file the petition with the court and join in it. Solicitor Rausch requested the board's approval to allow the Township Manager to sign the Joinder on behalf of the township for the petition.

**MR. SCHENCK MOVED TO AUTHORIZE THE MANAGER AND SOLICITOR TO ENTER INTO THE JOINDER AGREEMENT RELATING TO THE FIRE STATION. MOTION UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.**

**10. MANAGER'S REPORT**

**HOLMAN** Mr. Holman reported that he and Ms. Lang attended the last MS4 meeting at which they selected the choice of funding which was the weighted average. A report will be prepared for the board. In addition, a date and time will be scheduled for the county to come and do a full presentation for that board. He suggested that the presentation would be done during a work session at six o'clock for an hour presentation. He did not expect that to take place for several more months but he will schedule that meeting.

Mr. Holman reported that Public Works is in the process of repairing potholes throughout the township. They are using the cold patch at this time but will go back in the summer to do a permanent repair. In addition, quite a few signs had come down during the winter and he believed all of them are restored at this time.

**LANDIS** Ms. Landis asked for an update with respect to full time vacancies. She identified an item for the Finance Department, which had been a vacant position since she came on the board in 2010. She asked why the position had not been eliminated.

**HOLMAN** Mr. Holman responded that they are positions that had been reduced over the years. During the next budgetary session, if the board determined that the manning levels should be reduced, that could be presented.

**LANDIS** Ms. Landis asked whether there were any full time vacancies that are currently posted and advertised.

**HOLMAN** Mr. Holman responded that the only ones to be posted as per the contract will be the EMS positions, which will be posted March 28, 2014.

**LANDIS** Ms. Landis questioned the vacancies on the Recreation Board. She asked whether the last vacancy had been filled.

**HOLMAN** Mr. Holman responded that he would check and update the report. He thought there may still be one vacancy.

**11. ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS**

There were none for action.

**12. OLD BUSINESS**

There was no Old Business for discussion.

**13. NEW BUSINESS**

- A. Acknowledge Receipt of Springettsbury Township Police Pension, Death and Disability Fund Actuarial Valuation as of January 1, 2013.

**MR. SCHENCK MOVED TO ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THE REPORT ON THE POLICE PENSION DEATH AND DISABILITY FUND VALUATION. MOTION UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.**

- B. Acknowledge Receipt of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund Report for the Period January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2012.

**MR. SCHENCK MOVED TO ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF LIQUID FUELS TAX REFUND REPORT. MOTION UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.**

**Historic Preservation Committee**

**LANDIS** Ms. Landis brought back discussion on the Historic Preservation Committee. She stated, after listening to Jane Heller and Ms. Lang, that she would like to make a motion to start the language, start the process introducing some sort of language, ordinance for historic overlay, and that she thought Ms. Lang, with her experience, should be the lead person working with the Historic Committee. She wanted to make that motion to get this processing started because she thought it was well overdue.

**DVORYAK** Mr. Dvoryak commented that he was not sure he personally understood the motion.

**LANDIS** Ms. Landis responded that the motion was for Ms. Lang to be the person in charge to start introducing the historic overlay ordinance of Springettsbury Township.

**SCHENCK** Mr. Schenck asked her what that ordinance would look like.

**LANDIS** Ms. Landis stated that she did not know as that was Ms. Lang's expertise. Ms. Landis noted that she liked the fact of providing the commercial property owners some alternatives. If options could be provided the history could be kept in the township with flexibility for a win-win. She added that the 30-day cooling off period definitely needed to be expanded up to a 60, 80 or 90-day period.

**PHAN** Ms. Phan commented that the board's knowledge resides within the Historic Preservation Committee and the board needed to rely on them. With regard to an overlay, she questioned who would commit to that, and who would follow through along with the time constraints. Ms. Phan indicated she was all for the process if it wouldn't affect the residents, and something is needed to move

forward. She understood what Ms. Landis was suggesting. However, the HPC is in place and it is important to work with the Committee.

**LANG** Ms. Lang noted that, with her taking the lead, it would be a way of jump starting the process rather than waiting for it to percolate up through the Committee and would be a way of providing some options to them.

**PHAN** Ms. Phan summarized that Ms. Lang would present the Committee with some options and bring those to the board with respect to a historic overlay, and the board could discuss it along with the Historic Preservation Committee.

**SWOMLEY** Mr. Swomley noted that from what he had heard, they lack some direction from the board as to what they should be doing. He would be more in favor of a motion that directed them to take a look at options and alternatives rather than using the word overlay, which has a specific meaning. He would rather see what the options are, the pros and cons of those and any costs not to the township but the cost to the people at large. He stated that it has an implication to developers, to neighbors, and even broader implications to full areas. He stated he would support having a good look at options, alternatives and costs that the board could review and make further direction to either the Solicitor for an ordinance to be drawn up or to the Preservation Committee to do further refining work.

**LANG** Ms. Lang responded that the HPC will generate a list of ways to protect properties with varying levels of protection and again what the alternatives are and what the costs of those would be.

**DVORYAK** Chairman Dvoryak recalled that during a meeting last fall the previous board had given a head nod unanimously to pursue exactly what was just discussed.

**SCHENCK** Mr. Schenck stated that the board had advised them to begin exploring all the things that could be done for historic preservation, not just an ordinance that says thou shalt not tear down a building. He noted he was uncomfortable too with a motion to say create an ordinance or create an overlay which, even though he thought was very narrow direction, it might be the end product. He stated it was similar to how the Planning Commission is charged; when the board is uncomfortable with a zone, it is the same process.

**LANG** Ms. Lang commented that there may be some involvement with the Planning Commission because of their experience with the ordinances; however, the input of the HPC was imperative as well.

**SCHENCK** Mr. Schenck commented the matter should be seriously considered. He was not sure if there had been a second to Ms. Landis' motions.

- RAUSCH** Solicitor Rausch responded that there was no need for a motion; just a consensus. If that is what all the board members want, then a consensus can go forward with a direction to the Historic Preservation Committee.
- DVORYAK** Chairman Dvoryak questioned the other board members. He was not sure if he was taking from this that the intent is to have the Historic Preservation Committee work through the issues, but the board itself ought to be included in terms of what those tools are. He commented that he could certainly use that same list that's going to be compiled in terms of what are the tools for the tool box; what are the pros; what are the cons.
- LANG** Ms. Lang responded that what would come out of the discussion with the HPC is a list based on their knowledge and her knowledge of what they would recommend the board consider. That would not be one thing but probably a list with alternatives and options and costs. That list would provide the board with an opportunity to choose one, two or three things on which to move forward.
- LANDIS** Ms. Landis noted that would not protect anything other than the 30-day cooling off period.
- LANG** Ms. Lang noted that the ordinance in place could be changed to delay demolition to extend it to more than 30 days. An overlay is not needed to do that.
- DVORYAK** Chairman Dvoryak added that would apply to all permit applications, not just historic property.
- LANG** Ms. Lang responded that it does apply to everything. The Historic Preservation Committee doesn't look at anything that's not historic. She noted that Denny's came down a whole lot sooner than 30 days as they weren't interested in preserving it.
- SCHENCK** Mr. Schenck stated that his recollection is that the 30-day cooling off only applied to properties that currently are listed as historically significant. He commented that he has faith in the process. The board has provided clear direction. Patricia will facilitate the group.
- LANG** Ms. Lang noted that she will pull the pertinent information and present it to the HPC in a way that they can understand and make recommendations.
- SWOMLEY** Mr. Swomley commented that it is no different than the Planning Commission, which does not write ordinances but rather reviews them.
- LANDIS** Ms. Landis noted that something definitely needs to be done because the prior board gave direction and nothing was done.
- DVORYAK** Chairman Dvoryak responded that he did not think that was a fair attack.

**LANDIS** Ms. Landis stated that there was no feedback.

**DVORYAK** Chairman Dvoryak stated that it may not have progressed as fast as everyone who wants it has a vested interest. There were things done; however, what he had heard this date is to take this to the next level with the board's support behind it with some framework as to how to move forward. He had heard a consensus of exactly how the board wanted to go about that.

**CONSENSUS OF THE BOARD WAS FOR PATRICIA LANG TO TAKE THE LEAD WITH THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMITTEE, TO CREATE A LIST OF ALTERNATIVES, OPTIONS AND COSTS WITH REGARD TO PROTECTING HISTORIC PROPERTIES WITH VARYING LEVELS OF PROTECTION IN SPRINGETTSBURY TOWNSHIP.**

**14. ADJOURNMENT**

**DVORYAK** Chairman Dvoryak adjourned the meeting at 8:40 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

John Holman  
Secretary

ja