

**SPRINGETTSBURY TOWNSHIP
REGULAR MEETING**

**JANUARY 9, 2020
APPROVED**

The Springettsbury Township Board of Supervisors held a Regular Meeting on Thursday, January 9, 2020 at 7 p.m. at the offices of Springettsbury Township located at 1501 Mt. Zion Road, York, PA.

MEMBERS IN

ATTENDANCE: Mark Swomley, Chairman
George Dvoryak, Vice Chairman
Charles Wurster, Assistant Secretary/Treasurer
Don Bishop
Robert Cox

ALSO IN

ATTENDANCE: Benjamin Marchant, Township Manager
Charles Rausch, Solicitor
John Luciani, Civil Engineer
Dennis Crabill, Environmental Engineer
Dori Bowders, Manager, Administrative Operations
Todd King, Chief of Police
Mark Hodgkinson, Director of Public Works/WWT
Jessica Fieldhouse, Director of Community Development
Teresa Hummel, Finance Director
Nitza Sanchez-Bowser, Director of Human Resources
Dan Hoff, Chief, YAUFRR
Andy Hinkle, Manager, Information Systems
Jean Abreght, Stenographer

1. CALL TO ORDER

A. Opening Ceremony

SWOMLEY Chairman Swomley called the Regular Meeting to order and led the Pledge of Allegiance.

2. ANNOUNCEMENT OF EXECUTIVE SESSIONS

A. December 12, 2019 – 9:15 p.m. - Personnel

SWOMLEY Chairman Swomley announced that an Executive Session was held on December 12, 2019 for a personnel discussion.

3. COMMUNICATION FROM CITIZENS

JOHNSON Michael Johnson, 800 10th Avenue spoke regarding excessive speeds on 10th and 11th Avenue. He requested information on the results of traffic surveys done.

FIELDHOUSEMs. Fieldhouse provided results of the three traffic surveys done: Eastbound and Westbound on 10th Avenue and 11th Avenue; 10th Avenue was Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, the 3rd, 4th, and 5th of December, and 11th Avenue was Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, November 26th, 27th, and 28th. What it told staff was that on 11th Avenue Westbound, heading toward the City, there were a total of 546 trips and then Eastbound, toward Lancaster, 287 trips. 10th Avenue similarly, it was a total between the three days, 933 Westbound trips and 641 Eastbound trips. The 85th percentile speeds for both roadways are under 25 miles per hour. She noted a possibility for limiting left-hand turns out of 10th Avenue onto Sherman.

KING Chief King suggested that speed timing devices and speed destabilizers could be placed on 10th and 11th Avenues. He planned to put the speed timing signs out next along with the speed limit signs on both roadways.

INNERS James Inners, 814 11th Avenue also spoke regarding the speeds on 11th Avenue. He suggested the temporary speed bumps that had been effective during the Eberts Lane bridge construction.

SWOMLEY Chairman Swomley mentioned that temporary speed bumps could not be used during the winter.

ZEIGLER Chad Zeigler, 1420 Whiteford Road spoke in favor of a stop sign coming off Route 30. He agreed with the temporary speed bumps. The speeding is a growing concern in the neighborhood. He indicated concern for the number of children and dogs in the neighborhood.

SWOMLEY Chairman Swomley asked Chief King to monitor the stop sign for abusers.

KING Chief King responded that they would take care of that.

RAUSCH Solicitor Rausch commented that the purpose of the temporary speedhumps is to determine whether permanent speedhumps are appropriate. He questioned whether the neighbors want permanent speedhumps.

ZEIGLER Mr. Zeigler responded that he is in favor of permanent speedhumps along with lower speed limit signs.

LUCIANI Mr. Luciani noted that 85% of the neighborhood must agree on the humps. He added that the drainage has to work and the board has to agree to implement it.

INNERS Mr. Inners noted that 99 percent of the whole neighborhood wants it to happen.

ZEIGLER Mr. Zeigler asked how many houses/signatures to they need.

MARCHANT Mr. Marchant noted that notarized signatures would be needed from each property owner to validate 85 percent of the neighborhood.

FIELDHOUSE Ms. Fieldhouse stated that Community Development would champion the communication between the township and the residents and set up a schedule for when the Police Department can put signs up and when folks can expect to see certain things.

BLAINE Glenn Blain, 805 10th Avenue stated his support for the speedhumps.

MILLER Eddie Miller, 809 East 10th Avenue stated his agreement for the speedhumps. He questioned the results of the speed survey.

FIELDHOUSE Ms. Fieldhouse responded that the 85th percentile drove between 19 and 24 miles per hour. The average speed for 10th Avenue was between 16 and 17 miles per hour. The average speed on 11th Avenue was between 16 and 19.

MILLER Mr. Miller commented on the difficulty getting in and out of his driveway due to the number of cars and buses coming down the street.

SWOMLEY Chairman Swomley stated that if a school bus is speeding in the neighborhood, they should call the school district, and they will take care of that.

BLAINE Mr. Blaine questioned the highest speeds within the survey. He commented that if there are five people going down the road at 40 miles per hour, those are the four that concern the residents

LUCIANI Mr. Luciani noted that the average is 85 percentile because that's the speed most people feel safe.

SWOMLEY Chairman Swomley requested that all the numbers from the speed survey be made available to anyone who requests it.

FIELDHOUSE Ms. Fieldhouse responded that they would provide an Executive Summary as well.

4. ENGINEERING REPORTS

A. Environmental Engineer

CRABILL Mr. Crabill had provided a monthly report, to which he added that he had a conversation with Tony Differ from Anrich. Originally they requested \$287,000 for the extra work and changes. The township counter offered \$168,000. Anrich responded that anything lower than \$205,000 would be handled with litigation. Mr. Crabill discussed the matter with Solicitor Rausch.

RAUSCH Solicitor Rausch stated that construction contracts can be difficult. The township is at a very close point here where getting involved in litigation would be loss

leader. The money that would be spent would be as much or more than the extra \$40,000 that it is worth. Solicitor Rausch recommended authorizing the engineer to close it out at \$205,000.

MR. WURSTER MOVED TO ADHERE TO COUNSEL’S RECOMMENDATION AND CLOSE OUT THE CONTRACT FOR \$205,000 AS PROPOSED BY DENNIS CRABILL. MR. COX WAS SECOND. MOTION UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.

B. Civil Engineer

LUCIANI Mr. Luciani indicated that three bond options are on the Agenda for discussion. He had nothing further to report.

5. CONSENT AGENDA

- A. Board of Supervisors Regular Meeting Minutes – December 12, 2019
- B. Regular Payables as Detailed in Payable Listing of January 9, 2020
- C. Garden Spot Electric, Inc. – Raw Pump Upgrade/Fat, Oil and Grease Acceptance Project – Application for Payment No. 10 in an amount not to exceed \$24,700
- D. Heisey Mechanical, Ltd. – Raw Pump Upgrade/Fat, Oil and Grease Acceptance Project – Application for Payment No. 4 in an amount not to exceed \$470,710.80
- E. Market Street Commons Lot #18 Add On – Authorization of Bond Elimination in the amount of \$44,862.15
- F. The First Post – Authorization of Bond Reduction in the amount of \$4,400 (remaining bonded amount \$2,000)
- G. Rutters Farm Store #1 – Authorization of Bond Elimination in the amount of \$10,023

COX Mr. Cox requested removal of item E for further discussion.

MR. WURSTER MOVED TO APPROVE CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS A THROUGH D, F AND G AS PRESENTED. MR. DVORYAK WAS SECOND. MOTION UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.

COX Mr. Cox noted that according to Mr. Luciani’s letter Mr. Pasch had met all of the requirements in the bond to reduce the bond as requested. He noted that a wall was supposed to have been built to buffer the appearance of those buildings and was never built. It had been on the approved plan but not in the bonded items. Mr. Cox wondered if there was any way the township could get the wall built.

RAUSCH Solicitor Rausch noted that the only recourse is sending Mr. Pasch a note requesting that he build the wall. The item is not on the bond.

COX Mr. Cox indicated he just wanted to bring up the issue. He had no objection to reducing the bond as Mr. Pasch has met the requirements.

BISHOP Mr. Bishop commented that Mr. Cox’s question is how the township assures that a developer completes everything on the plan.

SWOMLEY Chairman Swomley stated that the matter had been discussed several times. He pointed out that there is some lack of teeth for enforcement.

FIELDHOUSE Ms. Fieldhouse stated that landscaping, such as that berm wall, would be one of those items that she thought should be bonded.

LUCIANI Mr. Luciani provided some background. The plan for the lot add on at AMC took 20 years to materialize. In the last few years Mr. Pasch built the apartment units along Eastern Boulevard. A review of the plan, and where the YAUFRR fire station currently is shows storage units, and next to the storage units show a buffer on the township's side. When the fire house was designed, there was a buffer there, but the building was over budget so the trees that were supposed to be planted were not planted. Mr. Pasch requested his bond reduction and an inspection was done. The trees were not in place, but Mr. Pasch put them in.

SWOMLEY Chairman Swomley noted that the money being held is not to build the wall. The money is to insure that the plantings survived 18 months and that any of the plantings that would die would be replaced. He added that the Bond Reduction Request is for the full amount that the township will allow, given that 18-month growth period. He noted that the township is not holding money back for something that was not bonded.

WURSTER Mr. Wurster noted that the bond is being reduced entirely by \$44,862.15. He questioned whether there would be escrow in order to assure the plantings survive.

LUCIANI Mr. Luciani responded that the entire bond can be reduced to zero; however, a requirement would be made for a maintenance bond for 18 months. Some developers reduce the bond, eliminate the bank and pay cash. After 18 months it is released. Another option is to reduce it less that retainage and in 18 months it is zeroed.

RAUSCH Solicitor Rausch indicated he would like to see the third option eliminated in order to not use the public improvement bond for structural integrity because that bond has a separate purpose.

MR. WURSTER MOVED FOR APPROVAL OF ITEM E, THE MARKET STREET COMMONS, LOT #18 ADD ON BOND REDUCTION IN THE AMOUNT RECOMMENDED BY THE ENGINEER. MR. COX WAS SECOND. MOTION UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.

6. BIDS, PROPOSALS, CONTRACTS, AND AGREEMENTS

A. Approval of Auditing Services Proposal with Stambaugh Ness, PC for Years Ending 2019-2022

MR. DVORYAK MOVED TO APPROVE AUDITING SERVICES PROPOSAL WITH STAMBAUGH NESS FOR THE YEARS OF 2019 THROUGH 2022. MR. WURSTER WAS SECOND. MOTION UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.

- B. Consideration of Refinance of General Obligation Bonds, Series A of 2010 General Obligation Notes, Series of 2014 – Christopher Gibbons, Concord Public Finance

GIBBONS Chris Gibbons provided the board members with handouts regarding his strategy which included reducing total interest costs with no refinancing debt until the Build America Bonds are able to be refinanced. He stated that interest rates had gone down and there is a net present value savings of at least \$250,000 more than at the time of the initial discussion. He stated the Build America Bonds are callable in May. The 2014 Bank Loan is called on at any time along with the 2010 Build America Bonds showing a net present value savings of about \$820,000 as a Bond Issue or 7-1/2 percent in present value savings.

Mr. Gibbons noted a second option to refinance the 2014 Bank Loan, which shows \$122,000 in net present value savings of 2-1/2 percent giving the opportunity to refinance at a lower issuance cost. The plan would be to refinance them both together. The strategy would be to go out and look for Bond Bank Loan Proposals, evaluate those in the Bond Market and then determine the debt incurrence ordinance sometime in early April and settle mid-May when the 2010 Bonds are called.

Mr. Gibbons presented a third option which included refinancing the Webster Bank loan by itself, and even after Issuance Costs, there is still a savings of \$250,000, which is over the three percent threshold.

The board discussed these options at length with Mr. Gibbons. Following the discussion:

MR. WURSTER MOVED TO ENCOURAGE CONCORD PUBLIC FINANCE TO EXPLORE BOND REFINANCE POSSIBILITIES FOR THE SERIES 2010, SERIES 2014, AND THE SERIES 2019 BANK LOAN WITH A TARGET PRESENT VALUE SAVINGS AS A PERCENT OF REFUNDED PRINCIPLE TARGET OF THREE PERCENT OR GREATER. MR. BISHOP WAS SECOND. MOTION UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.

7. SUBDIVISIONS AND LAND DEVELOPMENT

- A. Text Amendment Petition by BT York – Adaptive Reuse to Mini-Storage Facility in Town Center Overlay – Authorization to Send Text Amendment to York County Planning Commission and to Advertise Public Hearing on February 27, 2020 at 6:30 p.m.

FIELDHOUSEMs. Fieldhouse presented the background information which was documented in her December 21, 2019 memorandum regarding the Adaptive Re-Use to Mini

Storage Facility – Text Amendment. She was asking for approval to send the Text Amendment to the York County Planning Commission.

The board discussed the matter in detail. Following discussion Chairman Swomley invited anyone in the audience to speak on the subject.

CAMBRUZZI Blaze Cambruzzi with True Commercial Real Estate stated that their office currently does the marketing for both the Mall, for CBL Leasing, as well as the new Bon-Ton spaces owned by W.P. Carey, which is a separate entity. He indicated the most recent question involved a market study prepared by a party that does self-storage market study analysis which did show that the demand of the marketplace is here, particularly for the temperature control and also for the broader varieties in sizes. The sizes they are proposing, they are down to 5' X 5's and up to 10 X 10's. There is a market study that was prepared by an independent third party validating the demand of the marketplace.

WURSTER Mr. Wurster questioned what the market trends are showing as to whether it would continue to grow and whether there are long-term trends in the market study.

CAMBRUZZI Mr. Cambruzzi responded that the trends are showing that as the cost of housing goes up and housing sizes shrink with tighter lots, townhomes, dispositioning, fewer basements, etc., that is the source of the demand. The costs of building 500 square feet of space is greater potentially than having a rental of 500 square feet of space for whatever people do. The use of those are broad and include electronics, historical, memorabilia, family transitional things, etc.

COX Mr. Cox mentioned the sizes of 5 X 5 and 10 X 10. He asked what the largest anticipated use would be inside of those.

CAMBRUZZI Mr. Cambruzzi responded that he did not have anything wider than 10 feet. He added that there is a proposed market study.

COX Mr. Cox questioned whether there was a 50,000 square foot distribution center involved.

CAMBRUZZI Mr. Cambruzzi responded that the economics of that would never work. This is simply a factor of 5 to 10.

COX Mr. Cox indicated those were his questions.

CAMBRUZZI Mr. Cambruzzi commented that they went out to a marketing property and the demand for this type of space is very much strengthening. It is an overbuilt space. They reached out to an appraiser for comps when they began their search. They solicited from that a mini-plan evaluation. There were a dozen comps of recent sales and lease transactions, which half were conversions to storage showing a big trend. Three other ones were a retailer of a discount nature that is Farm King out of Ohio that is coming into a broader market here. Two were demolished demos

but roughly half were storage. And just for the other point, CBL is very much in favor. They've actually been doing things in the background to help nurture this transaction along. They are the owner of the balance of the Mall.

MR. WURSTER MOVED TO GRANT PERMISSION TO FORWARD THE TEXT AMENDMENT PETITION TO YORK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION AND TO ADVERTISE A PUBLIC HEARING ON FEBRUARY 27, 2020 AT 6:30 P.M. MR. COX WAS SECOND. MOTION UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.

8. COMMUNICATION FROM SUPERVISORS

SWOMLEY Chairman Swomley noted that this date was National Police Appreciation Day. He thanked all the police officers for their service.

WURSTER Mr. Wurster reminded the Springettsbury Township residents of the special election for State Senator will be held on January 14, 2020. He encouraged everyone to be familiar with the candidates and to vote accordingly.

BISHOP Mr. Bishop asked for clarification following the discussion on the 10th and 11th Avenue matter. He asked what is expected to happen and when the board should expect follow up information.

FIELDHOUSE Ms. Fieldhouse volunteered Community Development to coordinate that. She will coordinate with the police department and also with the township engineer and interested parties to determine a timeline and make sure that the residents are aware of that. She will share it with the board as well.

SWOMLEY Chairman Swomley noted a number of things that had been discussed, i.e., putting signs out immediately; temporary speedbumps in the spring; getting back to the residents with their notarized petition for the speedbumps and potential action following. A timeline is needed.

BISHOP Mr. Bishop asked whether there is a mechanism in place for the board to keep track of things.

MARCHANT Mr. Marchant responded that it is usually tracked in his Manager's Report as an update.

WURSTER Mr. Wurster added that the board had made use of Old Business items on the Agenda to track some of the more broad projects.

BISHOP Mr. Bishop commented on the discussion of Land Development Plans. He was interested in Chairman Swomley's comment concerning enforcement of land development and how the township assures that developers do what they are committed to do.

SWOMLEY Chairman Swomley responded that a presentation was made by Community Development recommending internal improvements not be bonded. The specific plan was the warehouse building on North Hills Road and anything internal to the

property. It was questioned why to bond that because there are other mechanisms. Discussion ensued as to whether it is the right thing to do because he, personally, was not sure that the teeth in some of the other mechanisms in place are sufficient. Ms. Fieldhouse and one supervisor disagrees.

WURSTER Mr. Wurster recalled that a Work Session was held on the subject, and the entire board agreed with the direction moved. There was no opposition to that plan.

RAUSCH Solicitor Rausch indicated that the board was discussing Commercial and Industrial properties; not residential.

SWOMLEY Chairman Swomley indicated his understanding was that the vote that was taken was to pursue it, not that the board was in full agreement and actually did so. He thought it was more of bringing the recommendation forward to the board.

MARCHANT Mr. Marchant stated that the discussion began last February with that Work Session with the board. And it was a consensus based move forward to change the process in the land development process about what the township would require to be bonded or not bonded. Not quite a year or 11 months later and there have not been any of the projects since then come through to full completion to be able to evaluate whether the restrictions in place on issuing the occupancy permit as the teeth is going to be a viable solution to make it stick or not. Staff thinks yes, but there are some doubts as to how efficacious that will be and it has yet to be determined.

BISHOP Mr. Bishop commented for clarification that it had to do with bonding only and not any kind of enforcement after they're built.

MARCHANT Mr. Marchant responded that it was about public improvements being bonded and private improvements, like interim sidewalks and parking lots, lighting

BISHOP Mr. Bishop noted that the discussion didn't have anything to do with such things as five years later they cut down trees.

MARCHANT Mr. Marchant responded that that is a Code Enforcement Issue where people must be held accountable to their plan.

BISHOP Mr. Bishop asked whether there is a mechanism in place to hold people accountable.

RAUSCH Solicitor Rausch noted as an example, Gabriel Brothers, which did just that; cut down their trees.

RAUSCH Solicitor Rausch noted that whenever Community Development comes across a situation where there was a violation of the Subdivision Ordinance, then a Magistrate can get involved.

FIELDHOUSE Ms. Fieldhouse noted that the Land Development Plan itself, a Subdivision Plan, a recorded approved document is a legal and binding agreement between the

developer and the township. Community Development goes back on the developer. She commented that Mr. Bishop was looking for the difference between pro-active and reactive enforcement.

BISHOP Mr. Bishop noted that proactive enough that when the township employee is driving around and notices something, they say something.

FIELDHOUSE Ms. Fieldhouse responded that is how they operate now. Unfortunately, Community Development with a staff of five, including herself, it's a little bit of both. They very much encourage residents to let the township know of issues. In addition, they encourage the board and the Planning Commission.

BISHOP Mr. Bishop questioned how they encourage residents to let them know.

FIELDHOUSE Ms. Fieldhouse indicated they call in. They tell the residents that they are needed as the township's ears and eyes in the community. When the Inspectors are out in the community daily, they are advised if they see something to proactively come in and enter it into the system for follow up. She added that Community Development had done an excellent job over the last several years of maintaining a consistent enforcement approach, to the point where things fall through the cracks. They have a very good approach in place. Ms. Fieldhouse is very confident that they are dealing with property maintenance and enforcement issues in a timely manner and getting positive results.

BISHOP Mr. Bishop asked whether the information is shared anywhere.

WURSTER Mr. Wurster asked whether there is a log of those transactions.

FIELDHOUSE Ms. Fieldhouse indicated they would have to run a report, but they absolutely keep a log.

WURSTER Mr. Wurster asked if that report could be provided.

FIELDHOUSE Ms. Fieldhouse responded that they could provide the information for the last three years to the board.

BISHOP Mr. Bishop commented on the matter of transparency and wondered how much information is provided for the residents. He questioned how certain things are determined to be put up on the screen. He understood that not everything can be provided.

MARCHANT Mr. Marchant noted that from his previous experience, it was expected that the same packet that the board received with all the reports was published and made available to the public and put on line a week before the meeting. Every detail of his report was public and there was a much higher degree of transparency there. There are different customs and operation expectation in the township, which he stated he was comfortable with; however, there is nothing that would prevent making more back up information available to the public.

- BISHOP** Mr. Bishop noted that he thought it would be a conversation the board should have at some point.
- SWOMLEY** Chairman Swomley agreed as he wanted to be careful with items such as the Solicitor's report and Executive Session items.
- WURSTER** Mr. Wurster noted that the board had been able to take advantage of the video screens and display a lot of material on the plans being discussed. This date showed the math and the zoning. The audience that is present here can see all of that. However, it is lost in the audio which also is good to have available.
- BISHOP** Mr. Bishop noted that plans are almost useless when an individual is only looking at it on the screen. He stated that having that available ahead of time would be significantly more valuable and eliminate half the questions. All the plans are public documents, and they are already scanned. It would seem that getting it out a week in advance would be helpful.
- SWOMLEY** Chairman Swomley commented that their agenda planning meeting would have to be more than a week in advance. This might not provide an appropriate amount of time to prepare all the agenda items and information.
- BISHOP** Mr. Bishop asked whether the Manager could come up with some suggestions for discussion at the next meeting.
- MARCHANT** Mr. Marchant stated he would review the logistics with staff and create a publicly viewed version of the packet holding aside things that are privileged for the board's review or held for Executive Session.
- SWOMLEY** Chairman Swomley commented that there had been discussion in the past concerning whether or not there are Labor Attorneys in the community to work with rather than going to Philadelphia. He mentioned something to a friend of his who reached back from Stock and Leader. They have an entire department that does Labor and Bargaining Unit work. They would be interested in discussing further if the township is interested. There might be a benefit to having the township's Labor Attorney from the community.
- BISHOP** Mr. Bishop stated he had been on both sides of that. He thought the board actually used Stock and Leader years and years ago, a little bit for some specialized things. On the other hand, he had been defended by the current people from Philadelphia and they were amazing.
- MARCHANT** Mr. Marchant noted he was not familiar with Stock and Leader's attorneys and their legal lot but he would be happy to meet with them. He added that what he does know about the township's current representation is their involvement and knowledge of the public employer labor relations. They represent around the entire Commonwealth. They are privy to Collective Bargaining throughout the Commonwealth and they are really up on the case law. They have a vast scope

and connection across all municipalities that really puts them at the forefront of everything going on in training and development for Police Chiefs and HR leaders and township managers. That is a huge value added with our current representation that is available through that exposure. We wouldn't lose it, because we can continue attending the PELRAS Conferences with just different representation.

9. COMMITTEE REPORTS

There were no Committee Reports.

10. SOLICITOR'S REPORT

RAUSCH Solicitor Rausch reported that during the December Meeting, Supervisor Tomevi raised some concern about the Animal Control Service Contract concerning their use of firearms and the discharge of her duties concerning very dangerous animals. He had reviewed the contract again and added some language concerning indemnification and hold harmless in their use of firearms. They agreed with the added language in the contract.

Solicitor Rausch reported that the settlement on the Wallace Street property will take place soon. Wells Fargo had satisfied the mortgage, which is the end of the lawsuit. The township is ready to go.

WURSTER Mr. Wurster asked whether a media event had been planned for the transfer or some sort of communication.

MARCHANT Mr. Marchant responded that he had a conversation with Ms. Gibb based on the conversation he had brought up about a grant award and how to promote those items. Based on the mediums having the most impact in reaching out to the public, the items the township has to promote aren't ones with the most impact reaching out to the public. The township Facebook page reaches more residents. He noted that whatever the township does for promotion, Mr. Marchant wanted it to look professional.

11. MANAGER'S REPORT

A. Township Manager's Report

MARCHANT Mr. Marchant reported that he had received a letter from the National Parks Service. They have rejected the township's proposal to settle the Land/Water Conservation Fund Grant Conversion outstanding issue. None of the proposals issued fit with their very strict requirements. He will continue to pursue options to resolve that.

Mr. Marchant brought forward the matter of the police facility. He had made the board aware of the fire that occurred the morning of December 25th. It was a fire

of an electrical nature in the equipment room in the basement. There was smoke in the building, and fortunately it was caught very quickly. However, it did damage some of the systems in the building and took HVAC offline and space heaters were needed to keep parts of the building operable. Subsequently, Chief King had the YAUFRR and Fire Chief Hoff provide a fire inspection of the building. A very detailed inspection listed a number of issues. At least a dozen are immediate concerns and several are less immediate; nevertheless would be triggered if an attempt were made to remodel the building. Mr. Marchant suggested a Work Session to discuss the current situation and steps forward.

WURSTER Mr. Wurster asked for the date of the next Work Session.

MARCHANT Mr. Marchant responded January 23rd at 6 p.m. He added that he had received an email from the CGA law firm offering an Elected Officials Training on January 29th from 3:30 to 5 p.m. This offers a refresher on the opportunities and pitfalls of serving as an elected official.

Mr. Marchant reported on the MS4 letter which had been drafted and shared with the board. The board had mixed opinions as to whether this letter should be sent from the Manager or from the Board of Supervisors. A lengthy discussion took place, which was followed by vote.

MR. WURSTER MOVED THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SEND OUT ITS COMMUNICATION, OPTION 1, SIGNED BY MARK SWOMLEY, CHAIRMAN; GEORGE DVORYAK, VICE CHAIRMAN; CHARLES WURSTER, ASSISTANT SECRETARY TREASURER, AND ROBERT COX, SUPERVISOR. MOTION DIED FOR LACK OF A SECOND.

MR. DVORYAK MOVED THAT OPTION LETTER #2 BE SENT TO THE RESIDENTS TO BE SIGNED BY THE TOWNSHIP MANAGER. MR. BISHOP WAS SECOND. MOTION CARRIED 3/2. MESSRS SWOMLEY AND WURSTER VOTED AGAINST. MESSRS BISHOP, DVORYAK AND COX VOTED FOR.

12. ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS

There were none for action.

13. OLD BUSINESS

There was no Old Business for discussion.

14. NEW BUSINESS

- A. Authorization for Township Manager to Draft Funding Commitment Letter for Green Light Go Grant.

MR. WURSTER MOVED FOR THE AUTHORIZATION OF THE TOWNSHIP MANAGER TO DRAFT A FUNDING COMMITMENT LETTER FOR GREEN LIGHT

**SPRINGETTSBURY TOWNSHIP
REGULAR MEETING**

**JANUARY 9, 2020
APPROVED**

**GO GRANT AS OUTLINED ON THE AGENDA. MR. COX WAS SECOND. MOTION
UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.**

14. ADJOURNMENT

SWOMLEY Chairman Swomley adjourned the meeting at 9:18 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Doreen K. Bowders
Secretary

ja